



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

REAL Women of Canada | www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XXXV | Issue No. 11 | November 2016

GOOD NEWS IN THE CONSERVATIVE LEADERSHIP RACE

When the date for the federal Conservative leadership vote was set for May 27, 2017, it seemed that in a twinkle of the eye, the leadership candidates who lined up at the starting line were all left-leaning so-called progressives. They were fiscal conservatives, but not social conservatives.

Many of these leadership candidates marched in the Toronto Gay Pride Parade in July, proudly holding the LGBTQy banner that claimed it was on “the right side of history”. They were grinning from ear to ear, chomping at the bit to display their newly found “tolerance” and “acceptance” of the LGBTQ community (see photograph). These candidates included MP Kellie Leitch (Simcoe-Grey, Ontario), Interim Conservative Leader, Rona Ambrose (Sturgeon River – Parkland, Alberta), MP Michael Chong (Wellington – Halton Hills, Ontario) and MP Maxime Bernier (Beauce, Quebec). Patrick Brown was also present. Brown, as a former MP, had a perfect pro-life/family voting record before he sought and received support from social conservatives when he ran for the Ontario provincial leadership. As soon as he was elected leader, he immediately disassociated himself from them and their values. Patrick Brown has now adopted all the left-wing social policies of the provincial Liberal party established by lesbian Premier Kathleen Wynne. As such, Brown can aptly be described as a “Quisling” –so named after the Norwegian traitor, Quisling, who, for his own personal advancement, became a supporter and spokesperson for the Nazi Party when it occupied Norway in World War II. This name describes Brown exactly.

One of the conditions of interim leader, Rona Ambrose’s appointment was that she would not be a candidate in the leadership race. This is fortunate because Ms. Ambrose has supported many liberal policies since becoming interim leader. As a result, she has become the darling of the liberal mainstream media. For example, columnist Tim Powers in The Hill Times (August 3, 2016) congratulated Ambrose for excellent work in “modernizing” the Conservative party. He complimented her for stepping away from the criminalization of marijuana; opposing the party’s support of traditional marriage and marching in the Toronto Gay Pride Parade, etc. Ambrose further endeared herself to the media at the annual Parliamentary Press Gallery dinner on June 4, 2016 when she made disloyal remarks about her former boss, Prime Minister

Stephen Harper, and made a vulgar sexual comment. The liberal media loved it: they thought, “she is one of us, after all”.

THE GOOD NEWS ARRIVES

Between Patrick Brown’s quisling act, and the left-wing position of the conservative leadership candidates, things were looking grim for social conservatives. However, the sun suddenly broke through with the announcement by several competent social conservatives that they would be seeking the Conservative leadership. They are: MP Brad Trost (Saskatoon – University), MP Andrew Scheer (Regina – Qu’Appelle) and possibly Pierre Lemieux (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell).

MP Brad Trost is a 42 year old Conservative MP elected for his fifth term in the Liberal landslide of October, 2015. He is a German Baptist Mennonite and a geophysicist by training. He has been outspoken on pro-life and pro-family issues with a 100% voting record on them. He criticized his own party in 2009 for its \$400,000 grant to Toronto’s Gay Pride Parade. In 2011 he objected to his government’s funding of International Planned Parenthood. At the May 2016 Conservative Policy Convention, he was an outspoken supporter of the party’s traditional definition of marriage as “the union of one man and one woman”. He says he is not a one-issue candidate, however, as he also has policy positions on many other issues such as the economy and foreign affairs.

MP Andrew Scheer is a 37 year old Conservative MP, a practising Catholic, the father of five children. (He is 7 years younger than Justin Trudeau). He is fluent in English and French and supports fundamental conservative, pro-life and pro-fami-

CONTENTS

GOOD NEWS IN THE CONSERVATIVE LEADERSHIP RACE.....	PAGE 1
DECEIT AND LIES WE HAVE BEEN TOLD ON HOMOSEXUALITY.....	PAGE 3
TORONTO GAY PRIDE PARADE IN DISARRAY.....	PAGE 4
WOMEN ADVANCE WITHOUT GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE.....	PAGE 5
THE POLITICS OF ABORTION AND BREAST CANCER.....	PAGE 6

ly values. He was the Speaker in the House of Commons from 2011 to 2015. He recently was Opposition House Leader. He regards himself as an unapologetic Conservative who can unite the party. He did agree to scrap the traditional definition of marriage at the 2016 Policy convention in Vancouver, which left the party with no official position on marriage. His voting record, prior to his appointment as Speaker, was 100% pro-life/family. His explanation for his vote on marriage at the Convention was that it “was more just a recognition of the status quo. It wasn’t the Conservative Party taking a new position on marriage, it was just deleting a clause that in the minds of many had become a little anachronistic”.

Pierre Lemieux was a bilingual former Canadian army officer for 20 years, retiring with the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel. He believes in strong families and protecting them from threats and harm. He is a Professional Engineer (P. Eng.) and graduate from the Royal Military College and has a Master of Science degree. He is a Project Manager Professional (PMP). Mr. Lemieux was a Member of Parliament for Glengarry-Prescott-Russell, Ontario for almost 10 years. He was elected as a Conservative MP in 2006, 2008 and again in 2011, and then was defeated in the Liberal wave of October, 2015. He is father of five children and believes in the sanctity of life from conception through to natural death. He is also a strong believer in freedom of religion, speech and association. He has an impeccable pro-life/family voting record. He is considering the possibility of entering the leadership run. Let’s hope he does.

These three outstanding individuals reflect the future of social conservatism in the conservative party. They deserve our support.

BENEFIT TO SOCIAL CONSERVATIVES

Social Conservatives may benefit from several individuals running for the leadership as the Conservatives are using a ranked ballot where members can vote for their first and second choices. With several conservative candidates signing up supporters, they can back one another and help win the leadership race.

PARTICIPATION IN THE LEADERSHIP RACE BY PRO-LIFE/FAMILY CANADIANS

Social conservatives must become involved in voting in the leadership race, and can do so very easily. The only expense involved is the membership fee to become a party member by March 28, 2017 as of 5:00 PM Eastern Standard Time. To become a member, one must be 14 years of age, a citizen or permanent resident of Canada, and pay the membership fee, complete a membership form and agree to support the principles of the party.

Membership can be obtained online at www.conservative.ca by clicking on “join”. The membership fee is \$15.00 per year or \$25.00 for two years. If you do not have a computer, ask a member of the party’s Electoral District Association (they used to be called Riding Associations) to give you a form and you can mail it in.

Please purchase your membership now to avoid a mass rush in March.

Voting for the leader will occur in your electoral district on May 27, 2017. It is a “one member, one vote” system, no other party shares this system of voting! The vote will be announced at the CPC Convention to be held on the voting date May 27, 2017.

Since there are several social conservatives running for the Conservative leadership it is up to us to see that one of them is elected party leader. 40% of Conservative Party members are social conservatives. We can and will make a difference in this leadership race! If the pro-homosexual “progressives” mustered 400 delegates at the 2016 policy convention in Vancouver to eliminate the traditional definition of marriage, then surely we can organize and recruit many more on this crucial vote which will determine the future of the Conservative Party. We must become involved by taking out a membership by March 28, 2017 and encourage all our family, friends, neighbours and associates do so as well. The Conservative Party is ours to take if we are diligent. †



Left to Right: Kellie Leitch; Rona Ambrose; Patrick Brown; Lisa Raitt; Lisa MacLeod; Maxime Bernier; Michael Chong; LGBTory Newsletter July/August 2016

DECEIT AND LIES WE HAVE BEEN TOLD ON HOMOSEXUALITY

“The public is supposed to put aside its intelligence and common sense, and respectfully bow collectively in obeisance to these “expert” opinions. These opinions, however, are complete and utter hogwash.”

Those who are setting our so-called “values”, such as the small but powerful group of academics, mainstream media, and homosexual activists, do so by attempting to impose strange myths and ideas that have no scientific basis.

These myths include the one that homosexuals are “born that way”, can’t change, and must be accepted for “who they are”. Further, those claiming they are a different gender than that with which they were born, i.e. the transgendered, who “feel” they belong to other than their gender at birth, must be accepted as such.

The public is supposed to put aside its intelligence and common sense, and respectfully bow collectively in obeisance to these “expert” opinions. These opinions, however, are complete and utter hogwash.

We know instinctively that they are not authentic, or worthy of our belief. However, the myth-makers attempt to force their nonsense on us by the heavy hand of the law, claiming that it’s “discrimination” to refuse to accept the myths as truth. Jurisdictions which don’t obey their rulings are economically punished, parents are forbidden to protect their children from the monstrous “bathroom” laws that permit males to use girls’ showers, lockers and change rooms. It’s all a fraud based on propaganda with no scientific legitimacy.

BOMBHELLS EXPLODE THE MYTHS

However, two bombshells have exploded that have shattered these myths, and the opinion-makers haven’t yet controlled its fall-out.

The first bombshell was a landmark study published in *The Journal – The New Atlantis*, (August 23, 2016). *The Journal* is a well-known journal of science, technology and ethics based in Washington D.C. This article analysed the scientific evidence of LGBT issues published to date in scientific journals.

The report was authored by two eminent scholars. Dr. Laurence Mayer, a professor of psychiatry and statistics and biostatistics at Arizona State University, stated in the preface to the study, that he has testified in dozens of federal and state legal proceedings strongly supporting equality and opposing discrimination for the LGBT Community. However, Dr. Mayer stated he supports every sentence in this report without reservation since it is about science and medicine. He also stated he was alarmed to learn during his review of over 500 scientific articles that the LGBT community bears a disproportionate rate of mental health problems compared to the population as a whole.

The other author is Dr. Paul McHugh, one of the lead-

ing psychiatrists in the world. He was psychiatrist-in-chief at Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore from 1975 to 2001. These scientists reviewed hundreds of peer reviewed studies on sexual orientation and gender identity from the biological, psychological and social sciences. Their conclusions were as follows:

- The belief that sexual orientation is an innate, biologically fixed human property – that people are “born that way” – is **not supported by scientific evidence**.
- The belief that gender identity is an innate, fixed human property independent of biological sex – so that a person might be a ‘man trapped in a woman’s body’ or ‘a woman trapped in a man’s body’ – is **not supported by scientific evidence**.
- Only a minority of children who express gender-atypical thoughts or behaviour will continue to do so into adolescence or adulthood. There is **no evidence** that all such children should be encouraged to become transgender, much less subjected to hormone treatments or surgery.
- Non-heterosexual and transgender people have higher rates of mental health problems (anxiety, depression, suicide), as well as behavioral and social problems (substance abuse, intimate partner violence), than the general population. Discrimination alone **does not account for the entire disparity**.

The second bombshell was exploded by a top researcher for the American Psychological Association (APA), lesbian activist, Dr. Lisa Diamond, co-author-in-chief of ‘the APA Handbook’ of sexuality and psychology and one of the APA’s most respected members. She admitted that sexual orientation was “fluid” and not unchangeable. By doing so, Dr. Diamond confirmed that the myth that “homosexuals can’t change” is now a dead-end theory. She summarized the relevant findings in a lecture at Cornell University stating that abundant research has now established that sexual orientation – including attraction, behaviour and self-identity – is fluid for both adolescents and adults for both genders.

Her announcement flies in the face of legislation in several US states and Ontario, Canada, which ban “reparative therapy”, which seeks to help patients experiencing same-sex attraction.

It also destroys the argument by homosexual activists that sexual orientation is the “civil rights movement of our times”. This is poppycock. Sexual desire is based on something other than genetics, including primarily, a person’s relationships, culture and other experiences, not genetics or prenatal hormones.

The only thing that science actually tells us is that we are born either **male** or **female**.

Errant, harmful ideologies and lies, especially those which diminish and endanger the lives of children, should be condemned without delay.

Clearly, the laws on sexual orientation and the transgen-

dered must be reassessed in light of the truth now being exposed. We can waste no more time on such inanities.

REACTION OF HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVISTS AND THE MEDIA

The mainstream media ignored these bombshells. The homosexual Human Rights Campaign (HRC), however, was not about to allow them to affect its continued existence, and the estimated nearly \$49 million it hauls in annually from contributions, which maintain its luxurious headquarters in Washington D.C. More importantly, it was not about to relinquish the tremendous power and influence it holds over society – especially the law makers and the media.

The HRC reacted as it usually does when its power base is threatened. It is to attack, attack, and attack. On this occasion, it went for the jugular of the *New Atlantis Journal*, which had defied it by exposing the true facts about homosexuality. The HRC published a criticism of the Journal's research review, characterizing Drs. Mayer and McHugh as "Anti-Trans All-Stars", and "anti-LGBTQ" promoters seeking to "marginalize" and mock people. They accused the authors of intentional "misleading statements" and "biased interpretations."

The editors of the *New Atlantis Journal*, however, were not about to put up with HRC's nonsense. The latter was

only lies and distortions. In a special publication entitled "Lies and Bullying from the Human Rights Campaign" it delineated how HRC had distorted the journal's recent publication on homosexuality stating in the introduction "Most of the HRC document is an exercise in distortion". It then tackled in detail these distortions.

We can also be assured that HRC is scrambling behind the scenes to find suitable ideologically correct researchers to commence a study, funded either by the HRC directly, or, more probably, by one of the corporate mad dogs held in its kennel, to dispute the study in the *New Atlantis Journal* and APA. When this new study is released, probably within a year, it will be with great fanfare and extensive coverage in the media. It will be presented as "The Truth". It will, however, be a fraud and deceit based on flawed methodology. How can it be otherwise, when its findings are pre-determined? The purpose of the study will be for propaganda only and it will be merely a feeble house of cards. It should be disregarded by those with any intelligence and common sense with the knowledge that such a study is only an attempt by well-funded homosexual activists to continue their control over society. †

TORONTO GAY PRIDE PARADE IN DISARRAY

"The parade has become a tangled mess, led and operated by a tangled web of extremists, opportunists and ideologues."

The Toronto Gay Pride Parade is in deep trouble. It is the fawning object of corporate millions, with governments (federal, provincial and municipal) also squandering money on it. A slavish media discretely hides its ugly sleaze from the public. Never before had the parade been more acknowledged, well-funded and politically correct than in 2016. The parade was the fulfillment of a dream by homosexual activists.

The 2016 parade was led by selfie king, Justin Trudeau. The parade Committee had chosen as an honoured group, the Black Lives Matter movement (BLM). The latter believes that racist police are the greatest threat facing young black men today.

It should be noted, however, that it is not mainly white police who are killing blacks in American cities where BLM originates, but other blacks choosing the evil act of murdering members of their own race. The astronomical number of black deaths by homicide is a function of the black crime rate. For example, in New York City 23% of the population is black, but the latter commit 75% of all the shootings, 70% of robberies and 66% of all violent crime. The reason for this high crime rate is a fatherless culture among blacks whereby gang membership substitutes for family influence.

Despite these statistics, BLM demanded that the Toronto Gay Pride Parade ban the Toronto police floats and booths from future parades and ban as well, all police presence from the parades.

In pursuit of these objectives, BLM Toronto staged a half hour sit-in at the start of the 2016 parade, halting it entirely and also issuing nine demands to the parade organizers. The parade participants were standing in the hot sun. The heat was so intense that Lisa Raitt, possible Conservative leadership candidate and former Minister of Labour under Prime Minister Harper, fainted. In order to get the parade moving again Mathieu Chantelois, Executive Director of the parade committee, quickly signed the BLM demands. The BLM then generously allowed the parade to resume. Mr. Chantelois scrapped his signed agreement the following day.

A few days after the parade, the Toronto Gay Pride Parade Committee received a letter signed by the staff of the parade committee, accusing Executive Director, Mathieu Chantelois, of racism and sexual harassment. Mr. Chantelois promptly resigned. The parade committee also experienced a backlash from some police members who had marched in the parade, as well as official police spokespersons who supported the parade and who had donated unpaid security for it. They were offended by their cursory dismissal by BLM.

As a result of all this turmoil, the Gay Pride Toronto Committee launched a number of town hall meetings to mollify its numerous critics. These meetings have shed light on the fractured organization and on the fragmentation within the LGBT community itself. The organizers of the parade have now apologized for what they describe as "a history of racism and marginalization", but nothing to date has been actually resolved. The parade has become a tangled mess, led and operated by a tangled web of extremists, opportunists and ideologues. †

WOMEN ADVANCE WITHOUT GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

“Women make up 59% of university graduates. Women dominate the traditionally male professions of law and medicine. ... Unfortunately, a vocal minority of highly placed, well funded feminists still prefer to promote themselves as victims.”

Women make up 59% of university graduates. Women dominate the traditionally male professions of law and medicine. Canadian women beat out all the Nordic countries (except Iceland) in holding senior management positions in business.

Women are doing very well, based on their individual competence, initiative and ambition. They don't need the government to bring in gender quotas as Ontario Premier Kathleen Wynne has done for provincial boards and is now urging private companies to follow suit.

Experience from Nordic countries, such as Norway, where it is mandatory that 40% of board members be female in public companies, is not very reassuring. Thanks to this pressure for quotas, younger and less experienced women are being quickly promoted with detrimental effects on the operating performance of businesses. Only when competent, experienced women, who excel in their work, are appointed to boards do businesses improve their performance by the presence of these women.

In effect, competent women rise to the top naturally because of who they are, and what they do. They are not held back by that illusory glass ceiling so often complained about by feminists. The “glass ceiling” is a figment of the feminists' imagination, and has no basis in fact. It is used by feminists as a useful tool to demand government intervention to give women an unfair advantage over men. Most women don't need it or want it. Few women, unless they are childless, want a prominent position with its long hours and stress. Many prefer to balance their lives between work and family. This is made clear by studies from Nordic countries, which indicate that mandatory gender quotas have not advanced women in the business world at all. Women there have not increased their enrolment in business programs, nor have they changed their decisions around marriage and family. Gender quota policies in these countries have proven to be without merit.

Yet, feminists are determined to make gender quotas one of their major issues in Canada.

The feminist organization Equal Voice (EV), which received \$1.47 million from Status of Women between 2006 and 2012, still promotes female victimhood in 2016.

EV, despite the evidence, denies that women have equal opportunity for advancement in Canada. At every turn, this feminist organization supports special treatment for women in the workforce. One of their first demands after the 2015 election was to make changes for women MPs

who are challenged by the long hours MPs must work to represent their constituents.

It also endorses an NDP Bill, C-237, which mandates a political party quota of 45% for women as a condition for reimbursement of campaign expenses which are now gender neutral.

And of course, EV never misses an opportunity to gripe about how Canadian women live under unbearable conditions compared to men, of harassment, violence and discrimination, thus re-enforcing a divisive victimizer/victimhood mentality. A convenient manoeuvre to obtain more advantages for women.

Another champion of quotas for women on boards of directors is Senator Celine Hervieux-Payette, now retired. She has introduced four bills since 2011 to “modernize boards of directors” by legislating quotas for women, disregarding the objections of successful women who achieve without special advantages, and promoting the view that women are incapable of advancement without an extra boost from the state.

Women are doing very well in Canada. Unfortunately, a vocal minority of highly placed, well funded feminists still prefer to promote themselves as victims. †

MESSAGE BOARD

- Action Item: A reminder to please circulate our national [petition](#) (see insert in Sept/Oct issue of REALity or see link) on behalf of religious freedom for Christians in Canada. Let's flood the House of Commons with signatures!! The signatures must be originals, not photocopies, and must be on a sheet which contains the full wording of the petition.
- Action Item: In this issue, we are encouraging members to take out a [membership](#) in the federal Conservative Party. We are a non-partisan organization. However, by joining the Party, you will be able to vote in May 2017, for a pro-life, pro-family leader who may eventually become our Prime Minister.
- Please check out our new, improved website, www.realwomenofcanada.ca, which is now mobile device and tablet friendly and hopefully, more user friendly in general. Please drop us a line to let us know what you think.
- [Donations](#) would be greatly appreciated to pay for the launching of our new website design and to pay for our annual membership in the World Congress of Families, for a total cost of approximately \$5000. Thank you so much! †

THE POLITICS OF ABORTION AND BREAST CANCER

“Women are dying from breast cancer because the information about its frightening link to abortion is not being provided to them.”

In recent years, women have been experiencing soaring rates of breast cancer. It is now the leading cancer afflicting Western women: one woman in eight.

Where abortions are prohibited by the law, or frowned upon by religious belief, women have low breast cancer rates. Whereas, in countries where abortions are readily available, such as Canada, where it is publicly funded, and in the US, breast cancer rates have shot up.

Numerous early studies implicated abortion with soaring rates of breast cancer. These studies were rejected.

Why did this happen? The rejection of the abortion/breast cancer link was not due to scientific studies on the issue. The reason was that governments in the West have become directly involved in funding nearly all medical research. Such research has become dependent on the political views of the government and the researchers, not on the value of the research. Cancer societies and abortion advocates are exerting political pressure to prevent the abortion/breast cancer link from receiving research monies because they fear that if this link is exposed, they will lose billions of dollars.

In Canada, medical funding is carried out by the government-funded Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), which is allocated over \$1 billion annually to dispense medical research funds to scientists across the country. Governments and the funding establishments, such as CIHR, are political and this leads to funding based on ideological, populist or partisan reasons. For example, we know that CIHR is a liberal, “progressive” funding organization by the fact that it

gave over \$18 million, between the years 2001 and 2011, to the political lobbyist who advocated for the establishment of Vancouver’s drug injection site. The fact that CIHR knew or ought to have known of this conflict of interest by these researchers is significant. Further, CIHR has not funded any research to determine whether there are detrimental effects to drug injection sites or the abortion-breast cancer link.

Abortion is highly politicized in Canada as in the US. The US National Cancer Institute changed its official description of the risks caused by abortion when its political masters took control. In effect, abortion became too hot to handle and researchers now receive no funding at all to determine whether abortion causes risks to women’s lives. Yet studies from non-Western countries, such as China and India, are exposing a robust statistical link between abortion and breast cancer.

Breast cancer is killing women. Twenty-five thousand women were diagnosed with breast cancer in 2015 and 5,000 women in Canada died of breast cancer that year. The link between abortion and breast cancer should be a focus of independent scientific research in order to allow women to, at least, make an informed decision on this issue. Instead, scientific research on abortion has bent to meet political imperatives. Women are dying from breast cancer because the information about its frightening link to abortion is not being provided to them.

Feminists are far more interested in retaining their ideological position on abortion as a “choice”, supposedly to make women equal to men, rather than in protecting the lives of women by researching the link between breast cancer and abortion. Feminists have a lot to answer for, as have cancer societies and the abortion industry. †



Vance Rodewalt, *The Ottawa Citizen*, September 26 2016. Two of Trudeau’s staff moved from Toronto to Ottawa charging taxpayers over \$200,000

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA
PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK
TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____
Address _____
City _____
Province _____ Postal Code _____
Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**
PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca