

CHIEF JUSTICE McLACHLIN JUSTLY CRITICIZED



Chief Justice McLachlin is unused to criticism, as she has been idolized over the years by the left-wing media and “progressive thinkers”.

Last July, Chief Justice Beverley McLachlin telephoned Minister of Justice, Peter MacKay, allegedly to warn him that there were problems with the eligibility of Mr. Justice Marc Nadon of the Federal Court, should he be appointed to fill a vacancy on the Supreme Court. Her office also advised the Prime Minister’s Chief of Staff, Ray Novak, of this potential problem. The Chief Justice claims that she was merely flagging a potential problem with the politicians. Two former Supreme Court Judges and a constitutional scholar, however, had advised the federal government that Judge Nadon was eligible for the appointment.

After receiving the call from the Chief Justice, Mr. MacKay advised the Prime Minister that it would be inadvisable for him to take a call from the Chief Justice, given the subject matter she wished to raise. Mr. Harper made public the fact that he had refused to take the phone call from the Chief Justice on the grounds that it was inadvisable and inappropriate.

Judge Nadon was subsequently sworn in as a Supreme Court Judge by the court, with Judge McLachlin presiding at the ceremony. When his appointment was challenged in the Supreme Court by a disgruntled lawyer, six of the judges (with one powerful dissent) concluded, in March, that Judge Nadon’s appointment was an “unambiguous error”.

Judge McLachlin’s previous telephone calls about this appointment suggest that they were something more than a mere “heads-up”, but rather, a warning signal to the government.

Chief Justice McLachlin is unused to criticism, as she has been idolized over the years by the left-wing media and “progressive thinkers”. As a result, she reacted swiftly to the publicity about the Prime Minister’s refusal to take her phone call.

First, she called in her legal officer, Owen Rees, to defend

her. He dutifully claimed that her calls were merely to point out the “needs” of the court.

When the Prime Minister refused to back down or apologize, more troops were called into the battle. Eleven (11) past presidents of the Canadian Bar Association, the executives of which have been the source, under successive former Liberal governments, for appointments of left-wing judges, claimed that the Prime Minister’s statements could harm the Supreme Court’s ability to render justice “objectively and fairly”. Next in line to raise objections was the Council of Canadian Law Deans — those “nice” guys who raised objections to the Christian Trinity Western University, opening a law school. The Law Dean’s Council did not want the “horror” of Christians contaminating the legal fraternity. So much for “objectivity”. Still, Mr. Harper wouldn’t back down.

Consequently, a 650 member group of lawyers wrote an open letter to Mr. Harper calling on him to withdraw his criticism of the Chief Justice. No such luck. Then, a request was made by seven Canadian legal academics to ask the big guns at the International Commission of Jurists in Geneva to take action against Mr. Harper’s “unfounded” criticism of the Chief Justice. That ought to do it! One might reasonably ask these seven academics what these foreign jurists know of Canada’s legal system, and just what information are they feeding them?

CONTENTS

CHIEF JUSTICE McLACHLIN JUSTLY CRITICIZED	PAGE 1
THE ORGY OF THE WORLD PRIDE PARADE	PAGE 2
THE UN IS DEAD	PAGE 2
UNIVERSITIES ARE STRANGE PLACES	PAGE 3
CBC SPONSORS FEMINIST AWARD	PAGE 5

There are two points that stand out in this unprecedented debacle:

1. It is none of Chief Justice McLachlin's business whom the Prime Minister appoints as judges. It is his sole prerogative to do so. It is completely out of her jurisdiction to become involved in any way with the appointment process; and
2. Does anyone believe for a minute that these lawyers and law deans, etc. would have jumped to the defence of the Chief Justice if she had been a social conservative? No way. They are protecting her so

that she can continue her work of gradually changing Canada's social values to fit a progressive liberal view: abortion, homosexual rights, same-sex benefits, same-sex marriage, child pornography, sexual swingers, drug injection sites, prostitution, and, soon, assisted suicide. She is their girl. It is politics, not principle that is behind their objections. They believe that the Chief Justice has to be protected to carry on her rebuilding of Canada to fit a liberal agenda. Their objections have nothing to do with judicial independence, which they so piously claim, but everything to do with the continued politicizing of the Supreme Court of Canada. †

THE ORGY OF THE WORLD PRIDE PARADE

It is not just REAL Women of Canada and social conservative groups who know that the World Pride Parade, so-called "celebration" to be held in Toronto at the end of June, 2014, is nothing more than an excuse for the indiscriminate use of drugs, alcohol and participation in promiscuous sex. There is no "pride" involved in this undertaking, since it is nothing but an excuse for a sexual orgy. This is a fact bluntly explained in an editorial in the homosexual newspaper, "Xtra", (May 15 – June 18, 2014 issue) wherein editor, Robin Perelle, stated:

... a goal of the gay liberation movement — to transform society as a whole to embrace all forms of sexual pleasure and relinquish its uptight fixation on sin.

A forthcoming sexual orgy also appears to be the

opinion of Toronto Public Health. It plans to distribute 288,000 condoms in bars, clubs, hotels, gyms and clinics, but concentrate on the homosexual neighbourhood of Church-Wellesley Streets. The cost of this condom campaign for the taxpayer is \$25,000.

These will not be ordinary condoms, however, but will be available in a specially designed city-branded wrapper, which will read, "Condom TO". It may be nice for the homosexual participants to take home a condom souvenir from Toronto, but it would be preferable if the condoms were used in order to decrease the risk of sexually transmitted infections and HIV. This is not likely to happen though, since the whole purpose of the parade is to participate in indiscriminate sex enhanced by drugs and alcohol. Under such circumstances, the condom campaign will, realistically, be of little use. †

THE UN IS DEAD



The UN has become nothing more than a multi-billion dollar debating society and a bystander to history, which no longer has influence.

Financial Post columnist, Lawrence Solomon, in an article published April 25, 2014, spoke the inconvenient truth that the UN is dead. He is absolutely right.

The UN has become nothing more than a multi-billion dollar debating society and a bystander to history, which no longer has influence.

The UN's inadequacies include a bloated, incompetent bureaucracy and rampant corruption. Its very structure has contributed to the profound disaster the UN has become.

When the UN was established in 1945, there were five dominant world powers surviving after WWII. They were Russia, China, France, the UK and the U.S.A. These world powers were set up as a permanent part of the UN Security Council, consisting of fifteen members, with ten members

elected. Only the five permanent members were given veto power. Needless to say, every one of these five countries has taken advantage of its veto power whenever it suited its purposes. The most recent example occurred when a resolution was put forward in March, 2014, to reaffirm Ukraine's "sovereignty, independence, unity and territorial integrity". Guess what? Russia vetoed that resolution.

The same resolution was then forwarded to the 193 member countries making up the UN General Assembly. The General Assembly, according to columnist Solomon, is "La La land", designed to be a toothless body, able only to proffer non-binding, but oh-so-feel-good, sentiments, that have no effect on the real world. For example, Crimea did secede and join the Russian Federation, contrary to the General Assembly vote opposing it.

UN PEACEKEEPERS

Whenever the UN has been asked to send in peacekeepers, resplendent in their blue helmets, they are useless in the face of genocide and other disturbing uprisings. This is because the UN peacekeepers are cobbled together

from different nations, are poorly disciplined, and are led by foreigners to whom the troops have no allegiance. As well, all too frequently, UN peacekeepers have taken the opportunity to rape and pillage the helpless inhabitants of the countries they are supposed to be protecting.

UN AGENCIES

Meanwhile the bloated UN agencies have been busy little beavers, working diligently to promote the Western agenda of abortion, promiscuous sex, homosexuality, etc. in order to break down the natural family, the faith, and the culture of other countries. Since the US pays \$8 billion annually in fees to support the UN, President Obama's anti-life, anti-family agenda predominates at the UN. The UN's General Secretary, Ban Ki-Moon, is no fool. He jumps in the direction of the purse strings that fund his organization. Ban Ki-Moon also does all he can to support the insane activities of the UN agencies.

For example, a UN meeting was held on April 7–11, 2014, in order to evaluate progress on the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD), held in Cairo in 1994. No end of malicious games were played at the meeting by UN officials to expand the anti-family agenda. In fact, that meeting spiralled into lawlessness. The meeting's document was supposed to be short, non-controversial and adopted according to established procedures. However, mysteriously, controversial language about abortion rights, comprehensive sexuality education, and sexual orientation-gender identity (SOGI) appeared. Genuine issues, such as declining population in many countries, poverty, clean water, sanitation, migration, and education were hijacked and replaced with the sexual freedom agenda.

The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) covertly paid for government officials from small countries, such as the Christian country of Papua, New Guinea, and Muslim Pakistan, which previously supported pro-family policies, to travel to New York to act on behalf of UNFPA at this conference. These individuals were able to position themselves in their country's delegations. Their governments were not aware that these delegates were taking a position in direct contradiction to their country's laws. It appears

that since abortion and sexual rights advocates have failed at the UN in creating international rights there, they have now broadened their approach by infiltrating governments who are too poor to cover the costs of their own delegations.

The Chairman of the UN meeting resorted to standard tricks to push through the anti-life agenda, such as making everyone wait all night long to debate the document, and finally emerging with a final text around 5 a.m., claiming it had been reached by consensus. This was fraudulent since most nations were not even allowed to participate in the so-called debate on the document.

THE HOLY SEE

Fortunately, there are some countries that are not prepared to sell out their core values to the UNFPA and other UN agencies. The Vatican, called the Holy See at the UN, has taken a leadership role, refusing to back down re all the madness taking place. As a result, the UN is constantly trying to undermine the Vatican in the hope that it will be discredited. For example, the Vatican was viciously attacked in January, 2014, by the UN Committee on the Convention on the Rights of the Child, which claimed that its record on child abuse should require the Vatican to remove itself from the UN. This occurred despite the fact that the Vatican representative testified that the church had turned a corner in dealing with the problem in that the number of incidents had declined. At the meeting on the UN Convention against Torture, held in New York on May 7, 2014, the Vatican was again viciously attacked on the preposterous grounds that the Catholic Church's pro-life teachings promote torture!

How much longer will the UN charade continue before the UN is finally dissolved?

As long as Western countries, particularly the US and the European Union, continue to pour billions of dollars into the UN in the hope that it will accept and promote their sexual agenda, the UN will keep up the pretense.

Much of the world's business, however, is now done outside the UN. Democracies intervene forthrightly and unilaterally, when necessary, without the UN's blundering incompetence.‡

UNIVERSITIES ARE STRANGE PLACES

Universities today are very strange places. They were originally founded as centres for ideas and knowledge obtained from all sources. Not anymore. The windows and doors of universities have now been hermetically sealed against any idea or speech that is not politically correct. Any attempt to break through this barrier of political correctness is immediately treated with disapproval and is regarded as intolerance that requires vigorous silencing.

Universities are frightened by protests caused by the presence of politically incorrect speakers because that could lead to a loss of funding and bad publicity. As a result,

universities seldom allow speakers with independent views. Even their own tenured professors cannot speak freely.

OTTAWA UNIVERSITY

There is no university in Canada more restrictive of free speech than the University of Ottawa. Its President is Allan Rock, a former Liberal Minister of Health and Minister of Justice. In both these portfolios, he was a disaster and left behind him a long, sad trail of calamities: Canadians are still experiencing their effects. Mr. Rock is locked into a left-wing mind-set which contributes to his impulsive, mindless reaction to anyone or situation that doesn't adhere to

his politically correct views. For example, Mr. Rock was the mastermind behind the banning of U.S. conservative speaker, Ann Coulter, from the sacred premises of Ottawa University in March, 2010. The Vice-President Academic and Provost, François Houle, had sent a letter to Ms. Coulter but it turned out, that it was Mr. Rock himself who had actually written the letter. No matter, he allowed Mr. Houle to be ridiculed by the press before Mr. Rock sheepishly admitted his involvement. Mr. Rock advised Mr. Houle to tell Ms. Coulter, “to avoid inciting hatred, not to single out individuals or identifiable groups for calumny or abuse, and to weigh words with respect and civility in mind”. The letter to Ann Coulter stated that, “there is a strong tradition in Canada, including at this university, of restraint, respect, and consideration in expressing even provocative and controversial opinions and urge you to respect that Canadian tradition while on our campus”. Most Canadians saw this letter for the hypocritical idiocy that it was.

Mr. Rock is currently enthusiastically reacting to the “appalling” (his words) problem of a “rape culture” at Ottawa University. He is joined in this concern by his feminist Chancellor, the former Governor General, Michaëlle Jean, not known for her insight or discernment. Mr. Rock, as usual, was pathetically eager to declare his feminist bona fides to the extent of outlawing any anti-feminist thought at his university. So, he has set-up a Task Force on Respect and Equality, to make recommendations in order to improve women’s safety at the university. He did so while praising the expertise and insight of Ottawa University’s feminist “scholars”.

BACKGROUND

Two recent events at the University of Ottawa led to Mr. Rock and Michaëlle Jean’s controversial claim that a rape culture is growing at college campuses and beyond: Four male student leaders resigned over an unacceptable, sexually explicit Facebook chat targeting the female head of the student federation; and, the entire men’s hockey team and staff were suspended amid police investigation of an alleged sexual assault in Thunder Bay. No charges have yet been laid. One hockey player protested the “predetermined assumption of guilt” of all 26 players and the coaches with the Ottawa University hockey team. His several attempts to meet with President Allan Rock have not been successful.

SILENCING DISSENTERS

As a result of all this talk of the unsubstantiated rape culture at Ottawa University, it seems that one is no longer permitted to question its presence. For example, a professor at Ottawa University, Janice Fiamengo, in a lecture, denied that there is a rape culture in Canada. The lecture was shut down by protesters on March 28, 2014. Security guards moved the speakers and the peaceful students to another room rather than ejecting the extremists, who claim to belong to the Revolutionary Student Movement.

The protestors banged desks, shouted, blew bullhorns and sang the Communist “Internationale” in order to silence the speaker. The noisy protestors followed the speaker, Dr Janice Fiamengo, to the new venue where her lecture was again shut down when disruptive students set off the fire alarm. The mainstream media ignored the entire event.

What could be so controversial that this Ottawa University professor had to be silenced? Simply, as an informed, former radical feminist, her perceptive critique of feminist injustice towards men threatened the dominance of the feminist ideology at the university.

She has dared to claim there is no rape culture in Canada, that Canadians abhor rape, and that it is not in any way culturally acceptable. She stated that “feminists want a hegemony [dominance of subordinates] on campus and that the specter of a rape culture is an ideological tool to silence and shame their opponents and to silence anyone who wants to speak against their [false] statistics.” She also stated that “Universities have been taken hostage by activists with totalitarian strategies... Talk about safe spaces almost always becomes a tool to enforce compliance, and silence those who disagree.”

According to Professor Fiamengo, radical feminists claim that rape is in the nature of male sexuality, that feminists want to impose a collective guilt for rape on men, and that this is damaging to men’s self-esteem and place in the world. There are feminist claims that one in four women is assaulted on campus, which is nonsensical she says. For example, in Detroit, the most violent city in North America, the rate of all violent crime is 2% and the rate of rape is 0.037%.

FEMINIST FUNDING AGAIN

From 2011 to 2012, Status of Women Canada has provided over \$5 million in grants to universities across Canada to combat sexual assault, to carry out “safety audits,” education campaigns, public awareness of gender based violence on campus and violence prevention. This included \$606,027 for the performance of the ballet “Ghosts of Violence”, which would tour 40 communities across Canada. An initiative with the BC Lions football team, to reduce violence against women, aimed at youth from grades 8 to 12, received \$541,900. Millions more in grants were provided by Status of Women for both the high school and elementary levels, and YWCA programs, specifically to raise awareness about gender violence.

The “rape culture” is a magnificent fund raising tool.

INTOLERANCE OF DIFFERENT OPINIONS AT OTHER UNIVERSITIES

In March, 2013, Professor Fiamengo was also shouted down at the University of Toronto where she stated the established fact that “many studies show that children do better with a traditional mother and father.” When the Men’s Issues Awareness Society (MIAS) scheduled her for a lecture at Queen’s University, entitled “Feminism’s Double Standards,”

one group tried to have MIAS de-ratified so that the group would be unable to rent space for the event. The anti-MIAS activists claimed that this men's group was creating a climate of opinion that it is OK to rape women, which is vehemently denied by this peaceful men's group. The de-ratification motion failed, the talk went ahead without disruption, and an exchange of views did take place on that occasion.

As she was being forced to leave the Ottawa University lecture hall on March 28 at Ottawa University, Professor Fiamengo, calmly stated to the Revolutionary Student

Movement protestors: "It's a symptom of a totalitarian ideology to attempt to quash dissent. You are showing your oppressive tendencies. Why are you so afraid to hear an opinion different from yours?" The final shutting down of her talk can be viewed at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rOnuZsXRwTA> between 29:28 to 32:26 minutes.

The talk Dr. Fiamengo intended to give at Ottawa University, with links to evidence supporting her position, can be read at:

<http://www.avoiceforwomen.com/feminism/feminist-lies-feminism/why-call-it-rape-culture/> †

CBC SPONSORS FEMINIST AWARD

It is unacceptable that the CBC still receives over \$1 billion a year from the Department of Canadian Heritage and continues to promote radical feminism, while refusing to cover conservative points of view.

Much ink has been spilled and many tears shed when CBC President, Hubert Lacroix, announced in April, 2014 that the CBC would be downsizing staff by 647 to plug a \$130 million revenue shortfall this year. This shortfall was caused by the loss of the most important source of CBC's advertising revenue, that is, hockey broadcasting. The latter was picked up instead by the cable company, Rogers Communications, for \$5.2 billion for 12 years. This loss was in addition to continued cuts by both the Liberal and Conservative governments over the years.

The CBC only has an 8% share of TV viewers in English speaking Canada. Without hockey broadcasting, even this minimal viewership is to be reduced by half.

Obviously, the CBC isn't providing programming that the viewers want. Mindless of this fact, the CBC, rather than trying to increase its audience, continues to relentlessly pursue programming slanted to a liberal or left-wing audience. It does so even though this is contrary to the 1991 *Broadcasting Act*, which provides, in section 3 (l) (i), that, "programming is to be varied and comprehensive providing a balance of information..." and, section 3 (l) (iv), that, "it provide a reasonable opportunity for the public to be exposed to the expression of differing views on matters of public concern..."

Albert Einstein defined insanity as doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results. That exactly describes CBC programming.

A perfect example of the CBC idiocy in this regard is its sponsoring and providing laudatory coverage to "The Feminist Games", the annual Femmy Awards on March 8, 2014 (International Women's Day), which was held at the Library of Archives Canada. Other sponsors for this event included left-wing organizations, such as CUPE (Canadian Union of Public Employees) and the feminist organization, Match International.

The organizing committee for the awards included Amnesty International, which promotes abortion and

homosexuality abroad, Oxfam Canada, which recently announced that women's issues were its priority, Inter Pares (an international left-wing feminist organization), Planned Parenthood Ottawa, the Canadian Federation of University Women, and the legal arm of the feminist movement, LEAF (Women's Legal Education and Action Fund). In short, this was a celebration to affirm the dying whimpers of the declining feminist ideology.

CBC anchor, Lucy van Oldenbarneveld, hosted the occasion celebrating those who contributed to "women's equality." When asked to cover the views of 16 pro-life picketers assembled outside the building, who wanted to bring public attention to the fact that abortions, based on gender, contravened women's equality, the CBC reporter covering the event, was not interested.

Past recipients of the Femmy were individuals from CUPE, Planned Parenthood Ottawa, Inter Pares, the federally funded feminist group CRIAW (Canadian Research Institute for the Advancement of Women), and CUPW (Canadian Union of Postal Workers.)

The Femmy Award website describes the 2014 recipients of the award as:

- A queer social worker, chair of EGALE Canada's transgender issues, who developed the gender identity inclusive policy for the AIDS Committee of Ottawa, was on the Board of Directors of the homosexual Pink Triangle Services, and worked with NDP MP Bill Siksay and Liberal Ontario MPP Yasir Naqvi on human rights and hate protection for transgender people.
- A queer-gender-non-conforming, anti-violence advocate who sat on the National Youth Advisory Board for Sexual Health and HIV, partnered with the Native Youth Sexual Health Network, who is currently project coordinator for "Preventing Gender-Based Violence on Campuses", is also a board member of the Queer Mafia, and is finishing up a social work degree at Carleton University.
- An organization called Holloback which opposes street harassment and is run by local activists, including Julie Lalonde, who was recently awarded a Governor

General's Award in Commemoration of the Person's Case. She was the project manager for FAFIA (Feminist Alliance for International Action), which has received \$1.8 million from Status of Women Canada.

Ms. Lalonde also represents Radical Handmaids, a pro-abortion group, which refers to its pro-life opponents as "haters." The group received generous CBC radio time on "Ontario Today" to target a group of medical doctors in Ottawa who, for medical and religious reasons, choose not to prescribe contraceptives, the morning after pill or refer patients for abortions. Radical Handmaids questions the right of these physicians to continue to practice medicine. The Canadian Medical Association, however, doesn't agree with these feminist extremists, stating: "We don't expect them [physicians] to check their morals at the door, we

recognize that they will continue to hold personal views."

It is unacceptable that the CBC still receives over \$1 billion a year from the Department of Canadian Heritage and continues to promote radical feminism, while refusing to cover conservative points of view. Even on those rare occasions, when the CBC acknowledges the existence of a conservative perspective, it never fails to misrepresent it! Oxfam Canada received \$8.8 million in federal subsidies from Foreign Affairs (CIDA) for 2012-2013. Oxfam Canada, together with Oxfam Quebec, received a total of \$29.7 million for 2012-2013, and \$34.1 million for 2011-2012.

Taxpayers should not be forced to fund these extremist leftist advocates, who use taxpayers' money to promote their own radical causes. †



Past President of REAL Women of Canada, Cecilia Forsyth, (right) and newly elected President, Pauline Guzik, (left) joining in the March for Life, Ottawa, May 8, 2014.

NORTHERN ONTARIO CHAPTER

REAL Women of Canada

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

**Tuesday, June 24, 2014
6:30 p.m.**

Right to Life Office
323 Algonquin Avenue
North Bay, ON P1A 2G4

Info:

paulineguzik@gmail.com

MESSAGE BOARD

- Please consider making a donation to REAL Women of Canada. We rely heavily on the generosity of our supporters, through our modest membership fees, donations and bequests, to finance the work we do to support family and life. Donations can be made through our [website](#), or by mail. Thank you for your kind consideration.
- Ontario voters: check out <http://www.campaignlifecoalition.com> or phone Campaign Life Coalition (1-800-730-5358) for a list of pro-life, pro-family questions to ask candidates for June 2014 election
- Sign petition to Stop Bill 52, the Euthanasia Bill in Quebec. <http://www.citizenngo.org/en/1476-stop-bill-52>.
- Write or e-mail Justin Trudeau to voice opposition to his declaration that all Liberal MP's must vote in favor of any pro-choice legislation. justin.trudeau@parl.gc.ca, or House of Commons, Ottawa K1A 0A6 (no stamp)

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 • Tel 613-236-4001 Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca • info@realwomenofcanada.ca

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK
TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year • Groups \$50/year • Donation _____
Being a political lobby group, contributions are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.