

U.S. PRO-ABORTIONISTS REELING FROM SHOCK

Under pressure from pro-life forces, state legislators have passed bills that have shut down abortion clinics and placed new restrictions on the provision of abortion services.

In 1973, when the United States Supreme Court handed down its decision in *Roe vs. Wade*, removing restrictions on abortion, pro-abortionists believed that they had won the prize that would serve their ideology indefinitely.

What they failed to consider was the utter determination of pro-life people, who picked themselves up after the devastation of *Roe vs. Wade*, and launched over the years, powerful forces in defence of life.

On the 41st anniversary of *Roe vs. Wade*, in January 2014, pro-abortionists surveyed the battlefield with shock and dismay. Under pressure from pro-life forces, state legislators have passed bills that have shut down abortion clinics and placed new restrictions on the provision of abortion services. In some states, the performance of abortions after 20, 12, or as early as six weeks (North Dakota) have been banned.

The U.S. National Abortion Rights Action League (NARAL) reports that, in 2013, 24 states enacted 52 pro-life measures. Arkansas held the lead with eight new laws, Oklahoma had five pro-life laws, and North Dakota had four. In a recent poll, 51% of Americans identified themselves as pro-life, and 42% as pro-choice.

As a result of this disastrous situation and the changing abortion landscape, NARAL gave the U.S. an “F” for its failure to provide access to abortions in America.

In fact, more abortion restrictions were enacted in 2012—2013 than in the previous decade. Fortunately, there are four times as many pregnancy centres in the U.S. as there are abortion clinics, so that pregnant women can find the support when needed.

ABORTION AS POPULATION CONTROL

Several years after the *Roe vs. Wade* decision, two of the judges who sat on that case, Mr. Justice Harry Blackmun and Madame Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, both disclosed,

in separate interviews, one of the court’s major reasons for its decision to provide wide access to abortion. That reason was its concern about overpopulation, which was the issue of the day when *Roe vs. Wade* was argued. That is, a desire for population control lay behind the *Roe vs. Wade* decision.

The court should be pleased, then, with the fact that the U.S. population is now failing to replace itself. The U.S. fertility rate has declined 46.6% since 1960. The U.S. had a birth rate of 1.8 children per women of reproductive age in 2012. A fertility rate of 2.1 is required to replace the population. In 2012, 40% of babies were born to unmarried mothers in the US.

What about the babies who are being killed by abortion? According to New York City’s Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, far more black babies were aborted than were born in 2012: 31,328 black babies were aborted, while only 24,758 black children were born. That’s 6,570 more abortions than births. The abortion of black babies makes up almost half the total number of abortions in New York City. Hispanic women had 22,917 abortions that same year, or about 31% of the total. Therefore, these two minority groups make up 73% of the total number of abortions in New York City.

Nationwide, although blacks comprise only 13% of the U.S. population, 37% of abortions are performed on black women, while Hispanic women comprise 16% of the U.S. population, 25% of abortions are performed on them.

Eugenicist, Margaret Sanger, founder of Planned Parenthood, would be proud. She was an unwavering advocate

CONTENTS

U.S. PRO-ABORTIONISTS REELING IN SHOCK	PAGE 1
DEFYING THE WEST’S DECADENT VALUES	PAGE 2
TICKETING MARIJUANA USE – WHAT’S THE SENSE?.....	PAGE 3
WORLD PRIDE PARADE 2014 – WHAT COULD GO WRONG?	PAGE 5
LITTLE RESTRAINT ON U.S. PRESIDENT OBAMA	PAGE 6

of killing “unfit” babies; i.e. babies who were black, poor, born of uneducated parents, etc. In 1939, Ms. Sanger wrote a letter in which she stated, “We do not want the word out that we want to exterminate the Negro.” Planned Parenthood is doing its best to carry out this objective. Planned Parenthood has 58 “Health Centres” in New York, only ten of which do abortion referrals. The other 48 centres provide “abortion services”. It is significant that 80% of Planned Parenthood abortion facilities are located within walking distance of African-American or Hispanic neighbourhoods. Margaret Sanger’s vision of eliminating “unfit” human life was rooted in her promotion of sterilization and birth control. Today’s Planned Parenthood, however, is much more violent, as it promotes pregnancy elimination—the destruction of human life that already exists.

Aveda King, niece of the Reverend Martin Luther King, stated, “... abortion has done what the Klu Klux Klan only dreamed about.”

NARAL President, Ilyse Hogue, recently proclaimed that pro-lifers are “anti-American”. She explained:

America thrives when no one is allowed to impose their personal morality on others. That is the essence of the religious liberty we prize.

She didn’t explain how legislation preventing murder, kidnapping, fraud, pedophilia, incest, etc., which imposes “morality” on U.S. citizens, is still acceptable, whereas, laws restricting abortion, i.e. protecting the child in the womb, is an improper imposition of morality on U.S. citizens.

The right to kill others, without restriction, is a religious liberty? Now, that is truly un-American. †

DEFYING THE WEST’S DECADENT VALUES

[T]here is a resolute trend, stretching from Russia to India and throughout Africa in recent months, to soundly reject western pressure to accept homosexual practices that have priority in the western world

It seems that Vladimir Putin’s Russia is not the only country defying the decadence of the West by passing a law prohibiting homosexual propaganda provided to children.

In fact, there is a resolute trend, stretching from Russia to India and throughout Africa in recent months, to soundly reject western pressure to accept homosexual practices that have priority in the western world. REAL Women does not believe that homosexuals should be jailed because of their orientation, but many countries, aiming to protect their culture, families, race and faith from the destructive decadent

policies of the West, think the opposite. They have signed into law legislation against homosexual practices, ignoring western pressure, which they regard as a form of social imperialism.

There are now approximately 85 countries that outlaw same-sex sexual acts.

Laws against targeting minors with information about the homosexual way of life, or equating homosexuality with “traditional” sexual behavior are sweeping through Eastern Europe. Such laws are limiting the activities of western homosexual activists from spreading their propaganda and agitating for their “rights” in these countries. African countries, such as Nigeria, Uganda, Senegal, Zimbabwe, Ethiopia, Sudan, Cameroon, Angola, and the Muslim countries, such as Algeria, Libya, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, etc. — countries that make up a fourth of the United Nation’s General Assembly and a fifth of mankind — have all rejected the West’s determination to force its views on homosexuality on them.

The West has responded to the independent attitude of these countries, by shaking its fingers angrily at them and cutting off aid to these countries. For example, the Ugandan president signed the country’s law prohibiting homosexuality in February. Since then, The World Bank has put a \$90 million grant to Uganda on hold. Norway has cut off \$8 million in aid; and Denmark is withdrawing \$8.64 million. The Netherlands has also withdrawn aid. The U.S. Foreign Secretary, John Kerry, announced he is conducting an internal review of its aid to ensure that its assistance programs “uphold our anti-discrimination policies and principles and reflect our values.”

The attempts to financially blackmail non-compliant countries has been met with scorn. Countries with anti-homosexual laws are taking the position that western governments can keep their money, as it is believed it is a trap to make them dependent, which they don’t want.

It is significant, however, that the U.S. and European officials are not condemning Saudi Arabia, whose laws include death as punishment for the practice of homosexuality. They



› This cartoon first appeared in The Globe and Mail on March 19, 2014.

will not condemn Saudi Arabia's anti-homosexual laws as a "threat to human rights" because European and American bankers and corporations prevent them from doing so. Saudi Arabia has massive investments in big western corporations and banks. As a result, the West will not touch Saudi Arabia. In short, the Saudis and other Muslim investors have a special exemption from whatever ideological and homosexual imperialism the U.S. is trying to impose on everybody else.

Similarly, Foreign Affairs Minister Baird has been strangely silent about Saudi Arabia's homosexual laws. This may well be attributable to the \$10 billion dollar agreement Canada made with Saudi Arabia in February, 2014 to sell a fleet of light-armoured vehicles over the next 14 years. This deal will create over 3000 jobs each year in Canada. Mr. Baird's outrage over the homosexual laws in other countries is selective.

This rejection of the West's attempt to impose values on other countries may well be a signal that there is a new world order silently taking place, by which the western world is no

longer dominating and controlling the world's future.

The West, especially the U.S. has been weakened in recent years because of a number of factors—its unstable economy, lack of military will, and its sheer incompetence internationally. The West is also deeply engaged in a wrenching internal struggle between traditionalists and the militant secularism being foisted on the population by government and media elites. This internal conflict has divided western countries culturally, socially and morally.

Nations such as Russia, China and India are carefully watching these internal divisions. These countries will be testing the western resolve many times in the near future. Western agitation for homosexual rights will be receding into the background because of more serious and complicated issues with which the West will be dealing. A recent example is Russia's presence in the Crimea. The West, except for the possibility of sanctions, has been unable to do much about it—and nobody is talking about Russia's law on homosexuality anymore. ‡

TICKETING MARIJUANA USE —WHAT'S THE SENSE?

Marijuana use is harmful, based on scientific evidence, and the possession of it should be taken seriously as a criminal offence...

The Conservative Government's National Drug Strategy has been based on three strong pillars:

- 1. Enforcement** — police have the responsibility to conscientiously enforce the laws prohibiting the use of illicit drugs;
- 2. Prevention** — educating the public about the detrimental effects of illicit drug use;
- 3. Treatment** — a drug addict only breaks the vicious circle of addiction by treatment. Treatment, together with meaningful support programs, enable the addict to pull his/her life together, rejoin his or her family, find employment.

One of the difficulties with this drug strategy, however, is that the enforcement pillar is not consistently applied. In fact, enforcement of the drug laws has been wildly inconsistent across the country, according to Statistics Canada data. All too frequently, police forces have looked the other way when encountering marijuana possession. It is not surprising therefore, that Canada leads the developed world in consumption of this drug. According to the UN Office of Drugs and Crime (July 2007), Canada has the highest proportion of marijuana users in the industrialized world: 16.8% of those between 15 and 64 years of age. Canada's high consumption rate is due in no small part to the enormous consumption of marijuana in the province of Quebec, which distorts the Canadian statistics. In 2004,

marijuana use in Quebec was 12% higher than in the rest of Canada. According to Health Canada, 32% of students in Quebec in grades 7-9 have smoked marijuana.

It is also unfortunate that possession of cannabis is regarded by some liberal judges, for personal ideological reasons, to be merely a minor offence. Consequently, in exercising their "discretion", such judges have mostly handed down insignificant sentences like probation. This lenient sentencing for possession has led to a public perception that marijuana does not cause harm. Marijuana, however, is not a harmless drug. There are many studies indicating the contrary.



› This cartoon first appeared in the [Toronto Star](#) on March 10, 2014.

TRIAL BALLOON ON MARIJUANA ENFORCEMENT

Instead of insisting that police forces and judges properly enforce marijuana laws, Justice Minister Peter MacKay has taken another tack. He has sent out a trial balloon, indicating that the Conservative government is considering issuing tickets for possession of small amounts of marijuana, rather than laying a criminal complaint. This would be the same as the police issuing tickets for minor traffic violations. The Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police, currently led by Vancouver Police Chief, Jim Chu, called for this change last August for those caught with 30 grams of marijuana or less. Chief Chu, incidentally, takes a lenient view of illicit drug use, including drug injection sites.

Mr. MacKay emphatically denies that the issuing of a ticket for the possession of marijuana is decriminalizing marijuana use. This is not true. Issuing a ticket for marijuana possession is, in fact, a decriminalization of the drug.

MEDIA PUSHES LENIENCY FOR MARIJUANA USE

This trial balloon put out by Mr. MacKay was no doubt influenced by the media's relentless campaign to convince the public that pot smokers not be punished because, supposedly, marijuana use is not inherently dangerous. This conclusion, however, ignores both common sense and the dark side of marijuana.

Marijuana is definitely a harmful drug. It has been well documented that it is a mood-altering drug capable of producing dependency and adverse effects on memory and learning, perception, behaviour and functioning, as well as having negative effects on pregnancy outcomes.

Marijuana is particularly harmful to adolescents. Research shows that the use of marijuana in the teen years increases the severity of schizophrenia and lowers the age of its onset for those susceptible to it. Also, although not all marijuana users will subsequently use hard drugs, those who are prone to do so, nearly always begin by using marijuana. That is why it is known as the "starter" or "the gateway" drug.

A study published in August 2012 in the journal, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, found that adolescents who, before 18 years of age, regularly smoked marijuana and continued for years afterwards, showed an average decline in Intelligence Quotient (IQ) of eight points. Quitting or reducing marijuana use does not fully restore intellectual functioning among adolescents who have been persistent marijuana users.

Epidemiological studies published in the past two decades demonstrate that marijuana use by drivers is associated with a significantly increased crash risk. The US National Highway Traffic Safety Administration studies on shock-trauma patients indicate that 15% of those who were injured in car accidents have marijuana in their blood, and another 70% had both marijuana and alcohol in their blood. In fact, after alcohol, marijuana is the second most commonly found psychoactive substance among drivers.

Marijuana has not been proven to be medically beneficial. Marijuana smoke is a crude THC (the psychoactive ingredient) delivery system that sends over 400 chemical substances into the body. This increases the risk of heart attacks, strokes, and damage to the respiratory system and brain.

Puff for puff, the amount of tar inhaled and the level of carbon monoxide absorbed by marijuana smokers, regardless of the THC content, is three to five times greater than from ordinary cigarettes. According to the British Lung Foundation (BLF), "smoking three or four marijuana joints is as bad for your lungs as smoking twenty tobacco cigarettes".

With these scientific studies staring him in the face, why does Mr. MacKay suggest trivializing marijuana use by merely issuing tickets for possession of this drug?

EFFECT OF TICKETING

The effect of ticketing marijuana possession, in contrast to the present system of laying a complaint, requiring a court appearance, and perhaps establishing a criminal record if found guilty, is to ignore the seriousness of the offence, by placing it in the same category as speeding, jay walking or over-parking. Such tickets are not desirable, but are regarded merely as an unfortunate fact of everyday life. This is clearly what promoters of marijuana are seeking: to downgrade its use to only a minor offence, thereby making its use more acceptable and prevalent.

Marijuana use is harmful, based on scientific evidence, and the possession of it should be taken seriously as a criminal offence, with all the implications of that, including a criminal record. Its use is not just another way of relaxation, similar to the use of tobacco and alcohol, as claimed by its liberal supporters. It should not be decriminalized with a mere ticket and fine issued as the penalty. Such a lenient attitude toward marijuana creates the false notion that smoking it is not a serious offence.

Please write to Prime Minister Harper and to Justice Minister Peter MacKay to shoot down their Trial Balloon. Ticketing for marijuana possession is not acceptable.

The Rt. Honourable Prime Minister Stephen Harper
Office of the Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

The Honourable Justice Minister
Peter MacKay
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1A 0A6

Your MP
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1A 0A6 ‡

WORLD PRIDE PARADE 2014 —WHAT COULD GO WRONG?

In 2009, Toronto beat out Stockholm to hold the World Pride Day event in 2014. Organizers expect the event to cost approximately \$4.5 M.

Naturally, taxpayers' money will be poured out to help.

Ontario lesbian Liberal Premier, Kathleen Wynne, promised \$320,000, and Toronto's left-wing city council has promised to double its customary funding for the annual gay pride parade to \$240,000. This is on top of the \$250,000 cost for public services for the parade, covering police, garbage pickup and street cleaning. What could possibly go wrong for this grand orgy of promiscuous sex, drugs, nudity and graphic sexuality, which promotes a deadly lifestyle that is anything but "gay"?

The fact is homosexuals are bound together solely by one thing — their profoundly destructive sex practices. This they advertise and celebrate by way of their nudity and simulated sex acts, carried out during the un-gay, very sad parade. They want to normalize this behaviour as being socially acceptable, claiming their sexual liberation is a legitimate part of Canada's diversity. Danny Glenwright, Managing Editor of the homosexual news agency, Daily Xtra (February 18, 2014) stated that:

it's what we do with our naked bodies that kept us gays down for so long. I prefer to leave that all behind for a few hours on Pride and head downtown to celebrate gay rights and gay sex — and to protest those who hate us gays and the ways we seek pleasure.

However, something has gone wrong with this unabashed deviant celebration.

Three members of the Toronto District School Board (TDSB), namely, Sam Sotiropoulos, Irene Atkinson and John Hastings have asked a very reasonable question about the nudity at this parade. Why is it allowed contrary to the clear wording of S.174 of the Criminal Code which prohibits anyone ... "clad as to offend against public decency and order?" In January, 2012, Judge John-Jo Douglas of the Ontario Superior Court stated in his ruling on charges of public nudity laid under S.174 that:

There can be little doubt that in our culture, near or full public nudity, then or now, has not been an accepted norm.

In paragraph 145, Judge Douglas made the following significant statement:

...this [nudity], then, is not any sort of incidental or accidental disclosure of a person mindful and respectful of the reactions of those he may confront, but one calculated to shock. That calculation is sufficient to support a finding of guilt in respect of partial nudity that offended against public order.

Nudity at the "gay" pride parade is calculated to shock, and is, in fact, an indecent act contrary to the Criminal Code. As stated by trustee, Sam Sotiropoulos of the TDSB, on his tweet, "If you were to do this in any other ward throughout the city at any other time of day during that same period, you'd likely be arrested." Needless to say, homosexual activists made their customary childish accusation, as they do to any criticism of their behaviour, "Homophobia!" In response to this, Mr. Sotiropoulos tweeted:

Interesting to remark how readily those who don't want to be labelled cast labels and slander at others",... To think these are the folks who are 'inclusive'? I'd say, 'Shame on you', but there's no suggestion they'd understand what it is.

He went on to say, "homosexuals are guilty of 'homosexism' " which he defined as "prejudice against straight men and women by LGBT (Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual, Transgender)". Exactly.

REAL Women has raised concerns many times over the years about the nudity at the "gay" parade in violation of the Criminal Code. It's gratifying that other voices are at last being raised about this travesty.

Homosexuals claim they just want an open place for people to come and express themselves and to be who they are. Why do they think they can act out their deviancy on our city streets in full view of our children? †

NORTHERN ONTARIO CHAPTER ANNUAL SPRING LUNCHEON

Saturday, June 7, 2014 11:30 am

Best Western Conference Centre

700 Lakeshore Drive North Bay, ON P1A 2G4

+1 800-461-6199

Speaker: Andrea Mrozek

Executive Director of Institute of Marriage and Family Canada

Research Division of Focus on the Family Canada

Info: paulineguzik@gmail.com

Tickets: \$25.00

LITTLE RESTRAINT ON U.S. PRESIDENT OBAMA

Despite his rhetoric, Mr. Obama has shown that he has little respect for the views, cultures and values of other nations and individuals.

The administration of U.S. President Barack Obama has caused turmoil in his country. This is because he is determined to impose his extreme left-wing views on the nation whether it likes it or not. His unwavering support for abortion, homosexuality, same-sex marriage, health insurance, which he rammed through the Senate, unqualified support for Planned Parenthood, the largest abortion provider in the U.S., are all matters that have unsettled much of the population and increased divisions within the U.S.A.

Despite his apparent charm and intelligence, Mr. Obama is not a leader. As a result, his policies have invoked resentment rather than acceptance.

Regardless of his unpopularity (41% support, according to the Wall Street Journal/NBC Poll, March 12, 2014), one of the lowest experienced by an American president, he is still determined to recklessly force his views, not only domestically, but internationally as well.

For example, at the UN, Mr. Obama's administration has become frustrated because it has been unable to push homosexuality and abortion issues there. This is not a new phenomenon. In 1994, at the UN Conference on Population and Development in Cairo, President Bill Clinton began to push all these issues, without success. Since then, these anti-family, anti-life policies have arisen at every UN Conference since Cairo's Conference, but have been consistently thwarted by the pressure of pro-life, pro-family NGO's, as well as by many pro-family nations at the UN.

One of the tricks used by these anti-family, anti-life forces at the UN is an attempt to change the definition of "family". The highly acclaimed 1948 UN Declaration of Human Rights document defines "family" as the union of a

man and a woman; this definition has been included in the Constitutions of nearly 120 countries.

The U.S. Delegation, however, argues that this definition is discriminatory and wants the concept "family" to be changed to include "having diverse forms and functions and 'diversity of individual preferences'". U.S. negotiators have been working behind closed doors to amend the definition of "family" in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to fit these new concepts.

Fortunately, the General Assembly has rejected the notion of families including "various forms" because most of the UN member states realize that the purpose of the new definition is to open the door to same-sex relationships.

Despite his rhetoric, Mr. Obama has shown that he has little respect for the views, cultures and values of other nations and individuals. He is unrestrained in his obsession to change not only his own country, but also the whole world in order, to promote his personal preferences. †

MAKING A GIFT UNDER YOUR WILL TO REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

Making a gift under your Will to REAL Women of Canada is a lasting gift, not just to REAL Women itself, but also to Canada as a whole.

Canada needs strong families, especially now, when the fabric of society is being torn apart by materialism, selfish individualism, and disrespect for human life.

REAL Women's efforts on behalf of the traditional family have never wavered. Through turmoil and adversity, we have put forward our voice on behalf of the family in a clear and uncompromising manner. We can only continue this vital work for many generations to come with your help.

When preparing your Will, please consider assisting REAL Women by making a bequest to our organization so that we can continue with our crucial work. †

MESSAGE BOARD

- Annual General Meeting at 7:00 pm
May 9, 2014, Cartier Place Suite Hotel
at 180 Cooper St in Ottawa.
- Nominations for Board of Directors and AGM
Resolutions deadline is April 21, 2014. Send
to Ottawa office address or [click here](#).
- Write your MP to support Bill C-560 on
Equal Parenting. Debate in late April. MP
information at: www.parl.gc.ca.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 • Tel 613-236-4001 Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca • info@realwomenofcanada.ca

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK
TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year • Groups \$50/year • Donation _____
Being a political lobby group, contributions are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.